

CITY OF WEST LAFAYETTE
COMMON COUNCIL
MINUTES
APRIL 3, 2017

The Common Council of the City of West Lafayette, Indiana, met in the Multi-Purpose Room at the Morton Community Center on April 3, 2017, at the hour of 6:30 p.m.

President Bunder called the meeting to order and presided.

The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.

Present: Peter Bunder, Nick DeBoer, Steve Dietrich, Aseem Jha, Gerry Keen, Larry Leverenz, David Sanders, Gerald Thomas, and Norris Wang.

Absent: None

Also present: Mayor John Dennis, Corporation Counsel Eric Burns, Clerk Sana Booker, IT Director Brad Alexander, Street Commissioner Ben Anderson, Director of Development Erik Carlson, Parks Superintendent Janet Fawley, Human Resources Director Diane Foster, City Engineer Ed Garrison, City Controller Peter Gray, Deputy Police Chief Troy Harris, Fire Chief Tim Heath, WWTU Director David Henderson, and Building Commissioner Chad Spitznagle.

MINUTES

Councilor Keen moved for acceptance of the minutes of the March 2, 2017, Pre-Council Meeting, and the March 6, 2017, Common Council Meeting. Councilor DeBoer seconded the motion, and the motion passed by voice vote.

REPORTS OF CITY DEPARTMENTS ON FILE IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE

There were no comments.

REPORT OF THE APC REPRESENTATIVES

Councilor Keen noted that there is nothing related directly to West Lafayette on the APC agenda.

PUBLIC RELATIONS: None

FINANCIAL REPORT

City Controller Gray reported that we are trending with our budget. We are a quarter of the way through the year, as of the end of March, and we have spent 25% of our budget, so we are right on line.

LEGAL REPORT

This report is on file.

SPECIAL REPORTS:

2016 WWTU Annual Report

Jim Treat (O.W. Krohn & Associates) stated that this is the annual review of the Utility financial report. It was a significant year for the Utility with key activities, including the biggest project we have ever tackled—the CSO project, which was over \$20 million, to further protect the local waterways and improve plant capacity. That project, along with the related financing with a bond issue, was a big impact on the numbers. Also, in order to pay for all of that, we increased the

Utility rates for the first time in ten years. Another first for the Utility is that, in connection with the large bond issue, we had the Utility rated by Standard & Poor's Financial Services. We got an A+ rating, which is a good, strong rating. They will be following up from year to year with the City to update that rating and make sure it is stable. Mr. Treat began discussing the financial statements with the Statement of Net Position, which is a snapshot of primary assets and liabilities for the year-end. The main asset is the utility plant and service, which was almost \$95 million at the end of 2016, including the construction progress on the CSO project. The other big asset is the cash and investments, which was almost \$20 million at the end of 2016. The cash balances, for the operating cash, increased by almost \$2 million. Part of that is the increased revenues, but we also held down expenses much better in 2016 than the year before. Having that increase of cash was required because of the bond issue; we need to have a certain amount for the next bond payment, which is held in the Bond and Interest Fund. The Debt Service Reserve Fund represents one year of payments on all bonds, and this reserve is held to allow one payment just in case something happens. We had to add an additional \$1.3 million to that reserve fund because of the CSO bond. The Construction Fund shows the money remaining on the CSO project. Under Capital Assets there is an increase in Infrastructure, which includes our collection system. Projects that were completed and capitalized in 2016 were the North Side Regional Lift Station and the Fairway Knolls and Sheraton Lift Station, so that is the increase there. On the liabilities side, there were two increases. One is the CSO project bonds, listed as 2016 Bonds, of about \$20 million. The second financing that took place in 2016 was a short-term note for the Auburn Meadows Lift Station. The Deferred Inflow of Resources also relates to the CSO bonds as part of the bond proceeds. Mr. Treat moved to the Statements of Revenues and Expenditures. The Operating Revenues shows a \$1.7 million increase in operating revenues. A good thing to see is that when looking at the wastewater user fees, plus the CSO surcharge, which was part of the new fee structure, our actual billed revenues for those items were \$9,631,000. Our budget, or our goal from the rate study, was to generate \$9,685,000, so it was within \$50,000. One of the reasons was due to implementing the CSO charge as a flat-rate charge, so it does not vary based on flows and usage. It is a much more stable and predictable source of revenue. In terms of the total Operating Expenses, they were down \$440,000 from 2015 to 2016, and we were still under budget by \$231,000. He reminded the Council that 2015 was a challenging year because of the coordination with the State Street Project with Purdue, the rate study, pre-bond work, and the transition to the new billing system. These were all expenses in 2015 that did not repeat in 2016. Our net operating revenue was up \$2 million from the prior year. The non-operating category was comparable to the previous year, with increased bond issue expenses. In terms of interest expenses, we added the last two lines for the 2016 Bonds and the 2016 Bond Anticipation Notes (BAN). The bottom line change in net position, which is the change in net income, was up by basically \$1 million. Mr. Treat then discussed the Cash Flow statements. It shows the net cash from operating activities, with a strong increase from \$4 million to almost \$7 million from operations. The biggest section of this shows what kind of activities took place in Capital and Financing, which shows the expenditures on big products, money coming in from borrowings, and then we break out all of the principal and interest payments on the debt service. In total there were about \$4.4 million in debt repayments made. Mr. Treat moved to Page 6 with the breakdown of Operation and Maintenance Expenses. The areas of Treatment Plant, Collection System, and Sanitation were all under budget in 2016, and they were also less in 2016 than 2015. The one area that bucked that trend was Stormwater, and that continues to be over budget in terms of the professional services. The challenges in stormwater are the ongoing costs for consulting services on various things, including inspections and GIS updates. He stated that City Engineer Garrison is looking for ways to bring some of those services in-house to rely less on consultants. We will try to get costs down with strategies like that. The Professional Services under Administration and General were way down and under budget. That category is basically legal and accounting, and again, we had a lot of costs in 2015 related to the bond issue, the rates, and some carryover

from the coordination for the State Street Project. Those were a lot of additional costs that did not recur. He stated that we were tight on the budget for 2017, so we only increased the Operating Budget by about \$25,000, so we are hoping to stay with that trend in 2017 and keep our costs in line with what they are right now. Mr. Treat then discussed the supplemental schedules, beginning on Page 8 with the Comparison of Account Balances with Recommended Reserves. This lays out statutory or internal requirements and recommendations for how much money should be kept in each of the listed funds. This schedule proves that the Utility is maintaining all of the required reserves. It also shows that the Available to Transfer amount increased by \$2 million from last year. This is the amount available if needed for emergencies. The next several pages show the debt repayment schedules, and the first one is the 2006 SRF bond that the Council approved to refund. We are in the process of working on the offering documents for that refund, and in the next month or two we hope to come back with a successful refunding. Page 15 shows the actual payment schedule for the new CSO bonds. The net interest cost for that was 3.5%, and it is stretched out over almost 25 years to keep the payment down. Page 16 shows all of the debt payments in one place, and, in total, we need to provide about \$4.9 million per year for debt service. Our revenues match up to those requirements as well as our other needs. Mr. Treat spoke about the chart on Page C-5, which shows updated comparisons of the Utility rates. Now that we have made rate increases, this chart shows that West Lafayette is still in the middle of the pack when compared to similarly-sized and near-by communities and utilities. Mr. Treat stated that Page 20 has the comparison of revenue requirements, which includes operating and maintenance expense, the debt service total, the capital improvements, and the payment in lieu of taxes (PILOT) that is made to the City every year. The PILOT amount did increase this year in order to help with the City's budget. The page shows the 2016 actual revenues, and we were able to build up some cash, as shown in the financial statements. In 2017 we have budgeted nearly equal. It shows we may spend a couple hundred thousand dollars more, but it depends on the timing of the capital improvement schedule. The bulk of the projects are stormwater projects, and the Stormwater Fund has plenty of cash to fund its projects on a pay-go basis. Page 20 also gives the updated bond coverage, which is the ratio of net revenues to the bond payments. The minimum baseline requirement for that is about 125%, and for 2016 we were at 156%. With the budget numbers for this year we are still at 146%, so it is another strong indicator. Mr. Treat stated that overall it is a good report for the Utility. We will keep monitoring to make sure the revenues are where they need to be and keep expenses in check.

Councilor Dietrich asked about the cash balances on Page 8. He stated that he is curious about who sets the minimum reserve guidelines, and why are there not any for the Stormwater Fund. Mr. Treat responded that for the most part they are external restrictions. The Bond and Interest Fund and the Debt Service Reserve Fund are set by ordinance; that is part of the bond ordinance. When the bonds are approved it requires that the City keep a certain level of money, and it requires monthly transfers into those funds. Those are not optional. He believes that the Operating Fund, which is set at two months of operating expenses, was set as an internal policy—more like a suggestion. He stated that we have not talked about setting a minimum requirement for the Stormwater Fund. The Construction Fund and Construction Retainage Fund cannot be spent on operations or personnel, so those are restricted by how they were created.

Councilor Keen asked if we should be looking at an internal minimum requirement for the Stormwater Fund. He asked what that would look like if it were done. Mr. Treat stated that he needs to give that some thought. There were some projects done before the Stormwater Fund was created where we funded a number of stormwater projects with wastewater funds, out of the Improvement Fund, which is one of the reasons why the Improvement Fund kept going down before we adopted new rates. So, one of the things we have discussed is reimbursing the Improvement Fund for stormwater projects that were paid for before the fund was created. That

may make a big impact on what the balance of the Stormwater Fund is; it may take some of that money. We can look at that. WWTU Director Henderson stated that it is probably worth a discussion. We do have a capital plan that has been developed with Engineering for the stormwater projects. Going forward, it is probably a discussion we need to have internally. The Operating Fund for the Utility, the two-month timeframe, has been that way since he has been here. Councilor Keen stated that we seem to be in a healthy status right now, so now would be the time to discuss this while we have some funds available to designate to that. Mr. Treat stated that they will give it some consideration and come back to the Council with a recommendation.

Councilor Dietrich and Director Henderson discussed that the CSO project is nearly done. Councilor Dietrich asked if the CSO overages costs the City in any capacity, through fines or other expenses. Director Henderson responded no, because during wet-weather events the overflows are written into our permit. Director Henderson then explained that he should tweak the CSO notice that goes out now that the new pipe has opened. There are three CSO points; two of them align with Quincy Street, and the other aligns with Dehart Street. The two at Quincy, which were the most active, are the ones that go into the new CSO interceptor. We had enough rain for the first time last week that we were able to see that the pipe is functioning as planned. The CSO alerts that have been going out have been exclusively for the area that aligns with Dehart, which became less active when we put the western interceptor online.

Councilor Dietrich asked if there is a way to show a ranking on the CSO alerts to indicate how much of a problem it is. Director Henderson responded that we have been discussing that internally, to figure out how to best differentiate that. He is working with the engineering firm to be able to report a quantification of how much overflow was able to avoid going into the river due to the pipe.

Councilor Dietrich stated that that would be valuable information. He asked if the overflows that are allowed by permit is by gallon or if it is an incident allowance. Director Henderson responded that it is by incident. When you get so much rain, you maximize flow into the treatment plant, and those are allowable. We also have a long-term control plan for a plan to reduce those. Even at the end of that plan, in 2027, when every project in our current plan is complete, there will still be rain events that will exceed our capacity to fully treat. The current guideline that Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) gave us, means that a ten-year, one-hour storm, which equals just over two inches of rain in one hour, is what we are designing toward at this point. It will not completely eliminate overflows, such as when there are sustained torrential rains for a few days and everything we have to store it fills up. We are in a much better place than we were last year, but there are limits to what is designed. Director Henderson thanked the Council for allowing the installation of the 96-inch pipe instead of the 60-inch pipe as it allows for less flow to the river.

Councilor Keen asked if we ever get close to the maximum allowable overflow events, or if there is a maximum. Director Henderson responded that it depends. What we are designing towards is that ten-year, one-hour storm. The older approach was called the "knee of the curve," which means getting down to four events per year. However, with that approach, a report has to be done every five years to indicate if we have done enough, and rates would have to be higher. With this, we have built to a design storm, and we can be done until they move the finish line again.

Councilor Thomas asked, regarding the \$6.7 million unspent in construction funds, if there are projects on the horizon. Mr. Treat responded that most of that was still for the CSO project, but it was as of December 31, 2016. Now that the rest of the work has been done, the money is not all there now. Director Henderson added that with the Guaranteed Savings Contract approach, any

money that was not spent on the pipe can be spent on other capital improvements at the plant that are in the current plan. We are going to be adding new bar screens at the plant, because when we capture more overflow the screens will catch debris to protect the pumps and digesters. We are also going to be doing some sewer lining.

Councilor Jha stated that he is curious about the CSO events still taking place near Dehart Street, and he asked if there are plans over the next year or two to reduce the number of overflows in that area. Director Henderson responded that as we move through this year, we will see how the CSO interceptor works and update our model of CSO events and look at what we do next. He explained that because of State Street, we had the privilege of being about three years early, based on the long-term control plan schedule, to build this CSO pipe. We have a few extra years here where we can take data from these events to see what we need to do at Dehart. It may be extending this pipe or doing some inline storage upstream from that overflow point. That is the next project, and the final project is looking at expanding the wet-weather facility. He confirmed for Councilor Jha that it would provide an additional reservoir to keep the flow out of the river. Councilor Jha asked if there are any plans for improving the treatment capacity over time, as far as how much can be treated at any given time. Director Henderson responded that the last project that addressed capacity was to add an aeration tank in 2012, which provided a 17% increase in our rated capacity. Currently we are in good shape with an average daily flow rating of 10.5, and we are seeing about 7.2 come through the plant, so we currently have a lot of room. If we get a big water-using customer in the Research or Aerospace Parks, it would be a great problem to have, but we would need to build again.

Councilor Sanders asked how long the Council approved the CSO surcharge to continue. Director Henderson responded that the charge is permanent, but the bond is for 25 years. Once the bond is retired, there will probably be other projects that will need to be done, but there is the option of retiring the charge. It would take Council action to adjust the ordinance. Councilor DeBoer noted that in 25 years it would be worth significantly less since it is not indexed to inflation. Mr. Treat stated that the charge was geared toward paying for the debt service on the bond, so those payments do not change in real dollars, so it still fits the purpose for what it was designed to do. He confirmed that the charge will not change until this or a future Council take some action, but it will need to be reevaluated in the future.

Councilor Keen asked, regarding information on Page 20 of the report, about the 10% decrease between the budgeted bond coverage of 166% to the actual of 156%. The budgeted amount for next year is 146%, and he asked if would possibly have another 10% drop on that. He likes having it a lot higher than the minimum of 125%. Mr. Treat responded that the things that we can control were pretty much right on target, and we had a lot more net revenues available than what we planned on, by \$300,000. What changed was the number we had used for debt service. When we did the 2016 budget in 2015 we did not have the final numbers for the CSO bond, and that is what changed enough. It does not take much to throw it off. The debt service amount should not change, because those are the right numbers and that includes the interest on the BAN. He stated that he is pretty confident that we will continue to be on budget with our revenues like we have been, so it will just be a matter of if Director Henderson can keep those expenses from growing. It is something we will watch throughout the year when we do the monthly reports. There was also the rate increase at the first of the year and the first of next year that will help offset those wage and other inflationary increases to keep the bond coverage rate from falling further.

Councilor Keen stated that several years ago we installed a cogeneration system, and he has not heard a recent update about how that is functioning or what the return has been on that. He knows that there is a life to that system, and asked how close we are to the end of the life on that.

Director Henderson responded that we have replaced one engine core, and once or twice a year we change the activated carbon for the gas conditioning system. It runs about 18-20% of the Utility's power needs. Councilor Keen asked how much we are getting in revenues from grease and that sort of thing, and if it close to offsetting. Director Henderson responded no, but he does not have that amount right now. However, the grease receipts have dropped off quite a bit. The most consistent feedstock that we receive from outside of the plant is the food waste from Purdue University. We are looking at some changes for the equipment within the plant to try to reduce our purchase power requirement. This may include replacing our aging centrifugal blowers with turbo blowers, which have more capacity to be turned down, and could be run off of dissolved oxygen in the aeration basin or removed ammonia levels. We are looking for opportunities to reduce our costs. Councilor Keen stated that he was happy when we installed that system because he saw a great potential. Director Henderson stated that we have someone reaching out to us for a tour, and he is presenting at the Illinois Water Professionals Conference later this month to talk about that system. It is still something that is garnering a lot of interest.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

Ordinance No. 06-17 An Ordinance To Establish The Cumulative Capital Development Fund And Readopt West Lafayette City Code Provisions Concerning The Fund (Submitted by the Controller)
PUBLIC HEARING

Councilor Keen read Ordinance No. 06-17 by title only.

Councilor Keen moved for passage of Ordinance No. 06-17 on second reading, and that the vote be by roll call. The motion was seconded by Councilor DeBoer.

Controller Gray stated that we are keeping the rate the same as the last few years, at five cents per hundred dollars, for the CCD Fund.

Councilor Keen moved to open a public hearing on Ordinance No. 06-17. The motion was seconded by Councilor DeBoer, and the motion was passed by voice vote.

There were no comments.

Councilor DeBoer moved to close the public hearing on Ordinance No. 06-17. The motion was seconded by Councilor Keen, and the motion was passed by voice vote.

There was no further discussion.

Clerk Booker called the roll call vote:

<u>Councilperson</u>	<u>Vote</u>
Bunder	Aye
DeBoer	Aye
Dietrich	Aye
Jha	Aye
Keen	Aye
Leverenz	Aye
Sanders	Aye
Thomas	Aye
Wang	Aye

Clerk Booker stated that the vote was 9 AYES and 0 NAYS.

President Bunder announced that Ordinance No. 06-17 passed on second and final reading.

NEW BUSINESS:

Ordinance No. 07-17 An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 32-97 Being The Unified Zoning Ordinance Of Tippecanoe County. (UZO Amendment #89) (Fence Height in West Lafayette) (Submitted by Area Plan Commission)

Councilor Keen read Ordinance No. 07-17 by title only.

Councilor Keen moved for passage of Ordinance No. 07-17 on first and only reading, and that the vote be by roll call. The motion was seconded by Councilor DeBoer.

Building Commissioner Spitznagle, noting that he is also the West Lafayette Administrative Officer, explained that the local administrative officers discuss items that they would like to make minor modification to in the UZO. These are changes that make it easier to both administrate and enforce. This issue is regarding fence height. He explained that currently, on corner lots and through lots, a fence in the rear or side yard must be set-back the amount of normal setbacks for that zoning district. For instance, on Kalberer Road, the fences on the back of University Farms should technically be set off of Kalberer 40 feet, since that is the designation for an urban primary road. This amendment would allow fences to be above 42 inches in height in the side and rear yard with approval of the Administrative Officer. The main thing that will be checked is vision triangle setbacks. There is a sense of privacy and security for homeowners that this modification makes, but it still allows for approval.

Councilor Wang asked about what happens if current fences are exceeding that height. He asked if we are not going to go back and have the fences lowered. Mr. Spitznagle responded that is correct. Since we do not require a fence permit, when they happen to see a fence going up that does not meet the ordinance, it is difficult to enforce when neighbors have the same height fence. This is about making our zoning ordinance something that is enforceable and makes sense for both public safety and privacy. Councilor Keen noted that this passed APC unanimously.

Thomas Kesler (479 Maple Street) asked who he would speak to about guidelines for a fence he is planning in his backyard, and President Bunder responded that he would need to speak to Mr. Spitznagle.

There was no further discussion.

Clerk Booker called the roll call vote:

<u>Councilperson</u>	<u>Vote</u>
Bunder	Aye
DeBoer	Aye
Dietrich	Aye
Jha	Aye
Keen	Aye
Leverenz	Aye
Sanders	Aye
Thomas	Aye
Wang	Aye

Clerk Booker stated that the vote was 9 AYES and 0 NAYS.

President Bunder announced that Ordinance No. 07-17 passed on first and only reading.

Ordinance No. 08-17 An Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 32-97 Being The Unified Zoning Ordinance Of Tippecanoe County. (UZO Amendment #90) (Keeping Horses in Dayton) (Submitted by Area Plan Commission)

Councilor Keen read Ordinance No. 08-17 by title only.

Councilor Keen moved for passage of Ordinance No. 08-17 on first and only reading, and that the vote be by roll call. The motion was seconded by Councilor DeBoer.

Mr. Spitznagle explained that this issue is solely for Dayton. Since we are under a unified ordinance it comes before the West Lafayette Council. Councilor Keen confirmed that it is only coming to us because it has to go to all jurisdictions.

There was no further discussion.

Clerk Booker called the roll call vote:

Councilperson	Vote
Bunder	Aye
DeBoer	Aye
Dietrich	Aye
Jha	Aye
Keen	Aye
Leverenz	Aye
Sanders	Aye
Thomas	Aye
Wang	Aye

Clerk Booker stated that the vote was 9 AYES and 0 NAYS.

President Bunder announced that Ordinance No. 08-17 passed on first and only reading.

Resolution No. 04-17 A Resolution Requesting A Transfer of Funds (Police) (Submitted by City Controller)

Councilor Keen read Resolution No. 04-17 by title only.

Councilor Keen moved for passage of Resolution No. 04-17 on first and only reading, and that the vote be by roll call. The motion was seconded by Councilor DeBoer.

Controller Gray stated that this transfer of funds is for a copier at the police station. It is moving the budget of \$901.00 from Contract Services to the Debt classification for principal and interest.

There was no further discussion.

Clerk Booker called the roll call vote:

Councilperson	Vote
Bunder	Aye
DeBoer	Aye

Councilperson	Vote
Dietrich	Aye
Jha	Aye
Keen	Aye
Leverenz	Aye
Sanders	Aye
Thomas	Aye
Wang	Aye

Clerk Booker stated that the vote was 9 AYES and 0 NAYS.

President Bunder announced that Resolution No. 04-17 passed on first and only reading.

REPORT BY THE MAYOR

Mayor John Dennis reported that there was a great groundbreaking today for the brand new elementary school at the Burtsfield site. This is the first new school since Cumberland was built. The project will be done within about one year. There will be some changes to the allocation of students, and then there will be work done at Cumberland and at the high school and junior high school. He stated that there are not only great changes for the City, but for our school corporation as well. Councilor DeBoer noted that the State Street project is starting, and Mayor John Dennis expressed compliments to the *Exponent* in making sure that the student population knows about the project.

Councilor Sanders asked how this legislative season has affected what we will be doing as a City. Mayor John Dennis responded that, so far, there have been some bills that have been brought forward that could have had some adverse impact. He stated that our Director of Development, Erik Carlson, did a great job in presenting an issue involving some of the authority that we have over rental properties. This issue goes back several years to a strong lobby at the State level in regards to private property, property ownership, and rental properties. Fortunately, the City of West Lafayette, unlike Bloomington, has the issues grandfathered. That is why we were good with the conversation about Airbnb; we can treat Airbnb products the same as rental products. Some of the legislation was getting down to ownership and the responsibility that the owners might have with their tenants, and they wanted to relieve some of that responsibility. It did not pass, so we are fairly comfortable with that. However, at every session, we are going to have to make sure that our representatives are astute to the fact that living in a college community, with the rental situation that we have here, that they need to keep us alerted to potential changes. So far, all of our representatives, on both sides of the river, have been very responsive to our request to maintain our sanctity on those.

COMMUNICATIONS

► Councilor Leverenz stated that there was a Black and Blue Town Hall meeting in Lafayette, bringing police and the community together. He complimented Police Chief Jason Dombkowski, Sergeant Jonathan Eager, and Sergeant Stason Wiete for representing West Lafayette and doing a very fine job in partnership with Lafayette Police Department. It was a good beginning on some great dialogue.

► Councilor Wang expressed appreciation to Officer Janet Winslow, who just celebrated 35 years with the Police Department.

► Councilor Thomas reported that the next Joint Board meeting is April 18, 2017, at 12:00 p.m.

► Councilor Keen stated that for the last several months the Ordinance Committee at APC has been discussing micro wireless poles and Airbnbs, and the discussion for both of those topics has been tabled until the State does act.

► Councilor Sanders stated that he is continuing to hear positive responses to our courageous action to declare West Lafayette a Machaseh, or refuge, for immigrants. He read a poem, which can be heard on the audio file for this meeting on the City website.

CITIZEN COMMENTS

► Mr. Kesler expressed appreciation to the Street Department for removing concrete cones from the Chauncey neighborhood, for removing a flat chunk of concrete at Grant and Stadium Streets, and for removing his last rubble pile. He stated that he had a chat with someone from Bloomington, and he learned that Bloomington is losing some of its small town charm because of the large buildings that arrived in recent years. He stated that, on the national stage, he has been looking at some of the hearings for the Supreme Court Judge, and he is flabbergasted by some of the double standards he is seeing at those meetings, and wishes that it could be cleaned up.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business at this time, Councilor DeBoer moved for adjournment, and President Bunder adjourned the meeting the time being 7:35 p.m.